

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,525	11/24/2003	Hiroharu Inouc	P24616	1953	
7055	7590 03/08/2006		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/718,525	INOUE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alicia Chevalier	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion.  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo y statute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 15 December 2005 and 15 Ju	uly 2005.			
-		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 1-20 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ext The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey-correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •		
Priority t	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date 2/23/04.	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/718,525 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-22 are pending in the application, claims 1-20 are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Amendments to claims, filed on November 24, 2003, have been entered in the above-identified application.

#### Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claims 21 and 22, in the reply filed on December 15, 2005 and July 15, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would not be a serious burden on the Examiner to examine all the pending claims. This is not found persuasive because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III or vice versa.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

4. Claims 1-20 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 15, 2005 and July 15, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1772

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,835,241) in view of Yeager et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,352,782).

Tsuchida discloses a laminate sheet comprising a polyphenylene ether sheet (col. 6, line 20) and a copper foil (title). The foil has a surface roughness of 2 µm or less (col. 3, lines 13-15) and the surface thereof facing the sheet with zinc or a zinc alloy (col. 6, lines 3-5) and at the same time coupled with a silane coupling agent having a vinyl group (col. 5, lines 14-16).

Tsuchida fails to disclose the claims polyphenylene ether composition.

Yeager teaches the claimed polyphenylene ether composition (summary of the invention).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Yeager's polyphenylene composition as Tsuchida's composition in order to insulation proporites and make the composition fire restraint (Yeager, abstract).

The limitation "piling a prepreg" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113.

Art Unit: 1772

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/6/86

ALICIA CHEVALIER PRIMARY EXAMINER